भारत सरकार **Government of India**
रेल मंत्रालय **Ministry of Railways**
रेलवे बोर्ड(**Railway Board)**

International Year of Cooperatives 2025
Cooperatives Build a Better World

CONFIDENTIAL

No. 2026/CE-I/CBL/01/**M/s Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara** New Delhi, dated: 27.05.2026

The General Manager
East Coast Railway

Sub: Banning of business dealing with M/s Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara (sole-proprietor), address— Karimul Janhimul, Dist-Kendrapara, Odisha.

Ref: ECoR letter No.W2/623/Banning of Business/AKL/2736 dated 11.05.2026.

M/s Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara (sole-proprietor), address— Karimul Janhimul, Dist-Kendrapara, Odisha were served with a Memorandum and Statement of charges for banning of business dealings with them for providing a forged /fake Credential and uploaded the same along with your tender offer and certified a false statement in IREPS portal in system generated format at para No.8 (Annexure-V) in the two tender cases.

2. Under these said two contracts, the following on the part of contractor were found, which are as follows:-

i. **Tender Case No. (i),** an open tender invited by the office of Divisional Railway Manager (Engineering), East Coast Railway, Khurda Road for the work "Proposed construction of Standard Post building at Platform no.1 at Balugaon station." vide Tender notice no. e-tendersouthkur-321-2024 date 11.02.2025, opened on 05.03.2025 wherein you had participated and submitted lowest offer among the Four bidders participated. The Advertised Tender value was Rs.1,25,51,322.20/-.

ii. **Tender Case No. (ii),** an open tender invited by the office of Divisional Railway Manager (Engineering), East Coast Railway, Khurda Road for the work "Construction of 15 bedded RPF barrack at Talcher station of Khurda Road division." vide Tender notice no e-Tender-CENTKUR-322-2024 date 12.02.2025 and opened on 05.03.2025 wherein you had participated and submitted lowest offer among the six bidders who have participated. The Advertised Tender value was Rs. 94,39,872.32/-.

iii. As per para -11 (vi) (a) of general condition contracts of Tenderer Credentials of tender conditions, "In case of any information submitted by tenderer is found to be false, forged or incorrect at any time during process for evaluation of tenders, it shall lead to forfeiture of the tender Bid Security besides banning of business for a period of upto Two (02) years.

iv. As per para No-8 (Annex-v) in IREPS portal in system generated format, the contractor have certified that "I/we understand that if the contents of the certificate submitted by us are found to be forged/false at any time during process for evaluation of tenders, it shall lead to forfeiture of the Bid Security and may also lead to any other action provided in the contract including banning of business for a period of up to two years. Further, I/we and all my/our constituents understand that my/our offer shall be summarily rejected".

v. During finalization of the aforesaid two tender cases, it is observed that M/s Anil Kumar Lenka- Kendrapara (sole proprietor) address Karimul, Janhimul, Kendrapara, Odisha had uploaded a completion certificate in support of fulfillment of standard technical criteria issued by Engineer Incharge/ DGM (Civil) Bolani Ore Mines, Rourkela steel plants, Steel Authority of India Limited. In this connection, letter had initially communicated to the concerned issuing authority by Zonal Railway on 12.03.2025 & 13.03.25 by post and mail i.e.

27.5.26

Contd-1-

sailbolani@rediffmail.com regarding genuineness of the document and total payment made to the agency in this agreement.

vi. In response to this a reply through mail i.e sailbolani@rediffmail.com was received by the Zonal Railway on 18/03/2025, wherein the genuineness was confirmed and was informed about total disbursement for the above-mentioned work for an amount 58,101,352.78/- by Mr. U. Prasad DGM (Civil).

vii. Subsequently, one more reply mail was also received from the same email id i.e. sailbolani@rediffmail.com by Zonal Railway on 24/03/2025 wherein it was noticed that another explanation was sent by GM (I/C), by providing additional information regarding the clarification above with following remarks "Due to the relocation of our plant department from Kolkata to Rourkela and the retirement of the officer who was responsible for completion certification, I am unable to locate the original certificate and cannot confirm the details at this moment. Furthermore, the final bill is currently held at the finance department, and there is a question regarding the issuing authority. Therefore, I kindly request that you ask the contractor for a new completion certificate or proceed with the necessary steps as per your process".

viii. In this connection, further clarification was sought from General Manager (1/C) regarding genuineness of the document vide Zonal Railway's letter dated 25.03.2025 & 28.03.2025. Against this, AGM, Contract Cell, Bolani Ore Mines through e-mail dated 06.04.2025 and letter received through e-mail dated 12.04.2025 confirmed that the documents produced by the bidder M/s Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara is forged, fabricated and unauthenticated and also informed that the email id i.e. sailbolani@rediffmail.com from which e-mail received earlier by the Railway is not their mail id and the original email id of Bolani Ore Mines is ccbom.rsp@sail.in and bolanicc@gmail.com.

ix. It is thus apparent from the above that two tender cases that M/s Anil Kumar Lenka-Kendrapara (sole proprietor) address Karimul, Janhimul, Kendrapara, Odisha have provided a forged /fake Credential and uploaded the same along with your tender offer and certified a false statement in IREPS portal in system generated format at para No.8 (Annexure-V) in the aforesaid two tender cases.

x. On account of supplying a forged /fake Credential, the Tender Committee (TC) in the aforesaid two tender cases, has disqualified the offer of Anil Kumar Lenka-Kendrapara and recommended for banning of business of M/s Anil Kumar Lenka- Kendrapara (sole proprietor) address Karimul, Janhimul, Kendrapara, Odisha for a period of up to Two (02) years with forfeiture of the tenderer Bid security as per Para vi(a) of tender credentials of GCC in aforesaid two tender cases.

xi. From the above, it is clear that you have uploaded a forged credential in connection with standard technical criteria and certified a false statement regarding debarment by Ministry/ Department of Govt. of India in connection with submission of Auto generated statement with bid against (i) Tender Notice No. e-Tender-southkur-321-2024, dated 11.02.2025 and opened on 05.03.2025 and (ii) Tender Notice No. e-Tender-CENTKUR-322-2024, dated 12.02.2025 and opened on 05.03.2025 is found false. Therefore, it is recommended for banning of business for two years on entire Indian Railways based on the declaration given at Para-8 (Annexure-V) in system generated statement in IREPS portal.

3. Reply to the Memorandum submitted by M/s Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara (sole-proprietor), address— Karimul Janhimul, Dist-Kendrapara, Odisha through East Coast Railway has been considered in detail by the Competent Authority, who has concluded that the justification offered by the agency is not tenable due to the reason(s) as follows:

(i) The contention of lack of knowledge of IREPS procedures and tender conditions is not acceptable. As per **Para 1 & 2 of General Instructions under INSTRUCTIONS TO TENDERER (ITT) of PART- I of GCC April-2022** that "Before submitting the offer, please read carefully complete e-tender document including Instructions to Tenderers, Indian Railways Standard General Conditions of Contract April - 2022 with up to date correction slips, Special Conditions of

27.3.25

contd--1-

Contract, Additional Special Conditions of Contract etc. as available on the web site www.ireps.gov.in. The digital signature on the e-Tender form will be considered as your confirmation that you have read and accepted all the conditions laid down in the documents referred in above as well as schedule of tender, including special conditions attached to E-Tender and financial offer form, unless specific deviation is quoted in the techno-commercial offer form", it is explicitly mandated that the bidder must carefully read and understand all provisions of the tender document prior to submission. Further, submission of bid using Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) constitutes a legally binding declaration that the bidder has understood and accepted all conditions of the tender. Therefore, plea of ignorance or inexperience cannot be accepted as a valid defence, particularly in a public procurement system where compliance responsibility squarely rests with the bidder.

(ii) The plea that the bidder relied upon a third-party consultant for tender submission and was unaware of the documents uploaded is not tenable. The act of sharing IREPS login credentials itself constitutes gross negligence and violation of basic security and procedural norms. As per **Point 4** of **Annexure-V** of GCC-2022, it is stated that "I/we declare and certify that I/we have not made any misleading or false representation in the forms. Statements and attachments in proof of the qualification requirements", the bidder certifies that all information and documents submitted are true and correct. This declaration is binding irrespective of whether documents were uploaded directly or through any intermediary. As mentioned in **Para 1** of **General Instructions** under **INSTRUCTIONS TO TENDERER (ITT)** of **PART- I of GCC April-2022,** "Before submitting the offer, please read carefully complete e-tender document including Instructions to Tenderers, Indian Railways Standard General Conditions of Contract April - 2022 with up to date correction slips, Special Conditions of Contract, Additional Special Conditions of Contract etc. as available on the web site www.ireps.gov.in." The responsibility of verifying authenticity of documents prior to submission rests solely with the bidder. The explanation appears to be an afterthought to shift liability and does not absolve the bidder from contractual and legal responsibility.

(iii) A letter of verification of credential regarding the genuineness of the certificate and total payment made to the agency in that agreement had been sent to the mail id (ie sailbolani@rediffmail.com) as mentioned in the forged credential certificate vide Ltr No. Sr.DEN/CENTKUR-322-2024/Tech/ Dtd.12.03.2025. In response to this, a reply from Mr. U. Prasad DGM(Civil) through mail (ie sailbolani@rediffmail.com) was received by this office on dt 18.03.2025, wherein the genuineness was confirmed and was informed about total disbursement for the above-mentioned work for an amount of Rs.58,101,352.78. Later on 24.03.2025 another suspicious mail had been received from the same mail questioning about the issuing authority. Then further clarification was sought from General Manager (I/C) regarding genuineness of the document vide this Sr.DEN/CENTKUR-322-2024/Tech/dated 25.03.2025. In response to the above, reply was received by this office from General Manager, CC on Dt.06.04.2025 through another mail i.e. ccbom.rsp@sail.in, wherein it had been clearly informed that the documents (i.e. completion certificate) provided by M/S Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara for "Construction of the building with RCC framed structure" is forged and fake and also informed that the email ID from which Railway is getting E-mails earlier i.e, sailbolani@rediffmail.com is not their mail id. The hard copy of the above letter vide letter Ref No. BOM/CC/B-116 Dtd.12.04.2025 has also received by this office on Dt.05.05.2025, which was addressed to Sr.DEN/South/KUR. Verification of credentials was carried out independently by Railway from the issuing authority through official correspondence (i.e. through Email and **Registered Post**).

(iv) The bidder has submitted a screenshot of a financial transaction of Rs.10,000/-, which does not contain identifiable details of sender/receiver and hence cannot be relied upon as valid evidence. Also, the date of transaction in the screenshot is 14.04.2025 and the date of opening of tender was 05.03.2025. Hence, the representation seems to be not justified. The cyber-crime complaint filed is noted: however, it is seen that the complaint has been lodged by a third party (brother-in-law) and merely reiterates the bidder's version without providing any conclusive linkage between alleged fraudsters and the forged document submitted in the tender. Moreover, lodging of complaint post detection does not mitigate the fact that forged credentials were used in the tender process. Therefore, the claim is irrelevant for determination of bidder's responsibility.

(v) The authorization in favour of bidder's brother for handling future tenders is prospective in nature and does not have any bearing on the present case. The issue under consideration pertains to submission of forged credentials in a concluded tender process. Therefore, such authorization cannot be treated as a mitigating factor or justification.

27.5.26

contd-)-

(vi) The agency has submitted one completion certificate along with his representation, which is issued by Engineer-in-Charge, Bolani Ores Mines, Rourkela Steel Plant, SAIL against the work "Laying of sewerage Network in Bolani Township at Balani Ores Mines Package-I, BOM", which is irrelevant to this matter. The statement of unintentional submission of forged documents is not valid as no such evidences have been found regarding the non-involvement of the bidder in producing fake/false/forged credential certificate. All documents submitted in the tender, including the forged completion certificate, bear the digital signature as well as seal of the bidder, thereby establishing ownership and accountability. Also, in **Point 3 & 4 of Annexure-V** of **Standard GCC** ID it is stated that "I/we hereby declare that I/we have downloaded the tender documents from Indian Railway website www.ireps.gov.in. I/we have verified the content of the document from the website and there is no addition, no deletion or no alteration to the content of the tender document. In case of any discrepancy noticed at any stage i.e. evaluation of tenders, execution of work or final payment of the contract, the master copy available with the railway Administration shall be final and binding upon me/us". The bidder explicitly declares that no false or misleading information has been furnished. In absence of any documentary proof demonstrating tampering by a third party without bidder's knowledge, the plea of innocence is not acceptable.

(vii) The bidder has claimed financial loss of Rs.4,10,000/-however, no verifiable documentary evidence such as bank statements, receipts, or legally admissible proof has been submitted to substantiate these claims. The screenshot provided is incomplete and unverifiable. The FIR/cyber complaint also does not establish the alleged financial transactions conclusively. Further. Financial loss, even if assumed, does not dilute the seriousness of submission of forged credentials in a public tender. Hence, the claim of victimization is not substantiated and is not relevant to the present proceedings. Hence, the supporting documents submitted by the bidder are irrelevant and misguiding.

(viii) The bidder has cited his status as an A-class contractor and absence of post misconduct. However, no documentary evidence in support of such claims has been submitted. Further, each tender is evaluated independently based on compliance with conditions. Even a clean post record cannot justify or condone submission of forged documents in the present case, which is a serious violation affecting integrity of public procurement.

(ix) The agency has pleaded to non-imposing any ban on his firm and also to release his EMD and allowing him to participate in future tenders of Railways, which is devoid of any merit as the bidder had already auto-generated declaration acknowledged (Annexure-V) in IREPS portal, in which at Point 9 of the declaration it is clearly mentioned that "I/we also understand that if the contents of the certificate submitted by us are found to be false/forged at any time after the award of the contract, it will lead to termination of the contract, along with forfeiture of Bid Security/Security Deposit and Performance guarantee and may also lead to any other action provided in the contract including banning of business for a period of up to two year". Since submission of forged credential has been conclusively established through verification from issuing authority, the penal provisions are clearly attracted. Hence, the request cannot be acceded to.

(x) The justification of the tenderer is not tenable due to the tenderer has mislead the Railway by submitting the fake/false/forged certificate with malafide intention and trying to escape himself taking the plea of the third party. The bidder was given adequate opportunity to present his case and submit supporting documents. However, he has failed to produce any credible evidence to establish his non-involvement. The act of submission of forged document, whether intentional or due to negligence, attracts strict liability on the bidder.

(xi) The tenderer has submitted an exhaustive representation on the proposal of banning, which is just to shirk from his responsibility and does not bring forth any new facts or evidence warranting reconsideration. The tenderer wholly blames the third party for uploading fake/forged/false documents without his involvement in it. But, it cannot be denied the fact that it is the sole-responsibility of the tenderer to check all the documents prior submitting his bid. Additionally the tenderer has signed all the documents under his name & proprietorship stamp. The issue is based on documentary verification from issuing authority, which clearly establishes forgery. Hence, personal hearing is unlikely to alter the findings.

27-5-26

contd--/--

(xii) On detailed examination of the representation, documents submitted by the bidder, and verification obtained from the issuing authority (SAIL, Bolani), it is clearly established that a forged/false completion certificate was submitted by the bidder in the subject tender. The bidder has failed to provide any credible documentary evidence to substantiate his claim of non-involvement or third-party fraud. The responsibility of submission of documents rests solely with the bidder as per provisions of GCC-2022 and declarations made under Annexure-V. The explanations furnished are found to be inconsistent, unsubstantiated, and not tenable. The plea of ignorance, reliance on third party, and post-facto cyber complaint does not absolve the bidder from responsibility. Accordingly, the charges against **M/s Anil Kumar Lenka** are held proved. The proposal for banning of business dealings for a period of 02 (Two) years is justified and in line with Clouse-11 (vi)(a) of Tenderer Credentials and relevant provisions of Annexure-V of GCC-2022.

3.1 Keeping in view of the evidences and facts on records & remarks, it is clearly established that the contractor M/s Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara (sole-proprietor), address— Karimul Janhimul, Dist-Kendrapara, Odisha has deviated from tendering condition with malafide intention. The reasons cited by the contractor are just to shirk from his sole responsibility. Such an act on the part of the contractor is ill intended and deceitful.

4. It has therefore, been decided by Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) to ban business dealing M/s Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara (sole-proprietor), address— Karimul Janhimul, Dist-Kendrapara, Odisha and also with their allied/sister concerns/and firms/partners for a period of 02 (Two) years on Indian Railways and Production Units from the date of deactivation of Login ID on IREPS.

5. Zonal Railways/Production Units shall ensure to deactivate the Login ID of M/s Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara (sole-proprietor), address— Karimul Janhimul, Dist-Kendrapara, Odisha and also with their allied/sister concerns/and partners/firms for above mentioned period.

6. Receipt of this letter may please be acknowledged.

(अजीत कुमार झा)
कार्यपालक निदेशक / सिविल इंजीनियरिंग (जी/ रेलवे बोर्ड)
[Phone: 030-44803: Rly: 011-23383379:MTNL]
e-mail address : edceg2022@gmail.com

Copy to:

1. As per attached list.

2. **Managing Director, CRIS**, Chanakyapuri, New Delhi (with the request to compliance and report to Board's office for deactivation of Login ID of **M/s Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara (sole-proprietor), address— Karimul Janhimul, Dist-Kendrapara, Odisha** and also with their allied/sister concerns/and firms/partners).

3. **M/s Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara (sole-proprietor), address— Karimul Janhimul, Dist-Kendrapara, Odisha.**

List For Distribution (No. 2026/CE-I/CBL/01/**M/s Anil Kumar Lenka Kendrapara** New Delhi, dated: 27.05.2026)

1. General Managers, All Indian Railways & Production Units
2. General Manager (Con), N.F. Railway, Guwahati
3. General Manager /CORE, Allahabad
4. Chief Administrative Officers (Con), All Indian Railways (Except N.F. Railway)
5. Principal Chief Engineers, All Indian Railways

(A)

1. CAO, COFMOW, Tilak Bridge, New Delhi
2. Principal CAO, Diesel Loco Modernization Works, Patiala (Punjab)
3. CAO (Workshop Projects), Chamber Bhawan, Judge's Court Road, Anta Ghat, Patna-800001, Bihar

(B)

1. Director General, RDSO, Manak Nagar, Lucknow
2. Director General, NAIR, Vadodara
3. Director General, IRICEN, Pune – 411 001 (Maharashtra)
4. Director General, IRIEEN, PB No.-233, Nasik Road-422101 (Maharashtra)
5. Director General, IRISET, Taa Naka Road, Lalla Guda, Secunderabad-500017
6. Director, IRIMEE, Jamalpur Distt-Jamalpur, PIN-811214 (Bihar)
7. Director General, IRITM, Sarswati Residential Estate, IRITM Campus, Manak Nagar, Lucknow

I

1. CMD, CONCOR, Concor Bhawan, C-3, Mathura Road, Opp. Apollo Hospital, New Delhi- 110076
2. CMD, IRCON International Limited, C-4, District Centre, Saket, New Delhi- 110017
3. CMD, RITES Ltd., RITES Bhawan, Plot No.1, Sector-29, Gurgaon
4. CMD, RVNL, August Kranti Bhawan,Plot No.25, 1st Floor, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi
5. CMD, MRVC Ltd., Churchgate Station Bldg, Mumbai- 400020
6. CMD, KONKAN Railway Corporation Ltd, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi-110001
7. MD, DFCCIL, 5th Floor, Pragati Maidan Metro Station Building Complex, New Delhi-110001
8. Chairman, RLDA, Near Safdarjung Railway Station, Moti Bagh, Phase-I, New Delhi- 110021
9. CMD, RailTel Corporation of India Ltd. Plot No. 143, Institutional Area, Sector-44, Gurgaon – 122003
10. CME, IROAF, 12th Floor, Core-1, Scope Minar, Distt. Centre, Laxmi Nagar, Delhi- 110092
11. CMD, IRFC Limited, UG Floor, EastTower, NBCC Place, Bhisham Pitamah Marg, LodhiRoad, Pragati Vihar, New Delhi
12. CMD, IRCTC Ltd., B-148, 11th Floor, Statesman House, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi 110001
13. CMD, Braithwaite & Co. limited, 5 Hide Road Kolkata 700043

Copy to:

(A)

1. General Secretary, IRCA, DRM Office, New Delhi
2. General Secretary, AIRF, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
3. General Secretary, NFIR, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
4. General Secretary, IRPOF, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
5. General Secretary, FROA, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
6. General Secretary, AIRPA, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
7. General Secretary, AISC & STREA, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
8. The Secretary, RBSS, Group (A) Offices Association, Rail Bhawan
9. The Secretary, RBSS, Group (B) Offices Association, Rail Bhawan
10. General Secretary, RBSSS Association, Rail Bhawan
11. The Secretary, RBMSA, Rail Bhawan
12. The Secretary, Railway, Group (D) Employees Association, Rail Bhawan

(B)

1. Concerned PSO for kind information of Chairman cum CEO, M/Infra, M/T&RS M/O&BD, M/Finance, Railway Board
2. Adv./MR, EDPG/MR, OSD/MR, OSR(Co-ord)/MR, EDPG/MoSRI, JDPG/MoSR(S)
3. Chief Vigilance Officers, All Indian Railways
4. DG(RHS), DG(RPF), AM(CE), AM(Works), AM(B), AM(Elec.), AM(RS), AM(ME), AM/Tele, AM/C&IS, AM/Sig., AM(Plg.), PED(B&S), PED(Vigilance), EDCE(P), PED/Safety, PED/Gati Shakti, EDCE(G), EDTK(M&MC), EDCE(B&S), EDF(X), ED/GS(Civil-I), ED/GS(Civil-II), ED/GS(Elect.), ED/GS(S&T), ED/GS/SD, EDW(Plg.), ED(L&A), ED(PSU), EDVE, ED(Safety), ED(Sig. Dev.), ED(EDRS(G), EDEE(G), EDFE, EDE(N), ED(Accounts), ED/T&MPP, EDME(Chg.), EDME(Frt.), ED/Plg., JS(conf), JS(P), JS(G), JS(D), Vigilance-III, Vig(Conf) of Railway Board.

****

27.5.26